

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA )  
 )  
 v. ) CRIM. CASE NO. 3:20-cr-15-ECM  
 )  
 MARCUS THOMPSON )

The Act excludes, however, certain delays from the seventy-day period, including delays based on “findings that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.” 18 U.S.C. §

3161(h)(7)(A). In determining whether to grant a continuance under § 3161(h)(7), the court “shall consider,” among other factors, whether denial of a continuance would likely “result in a miscarriage of justice,” or “would deny counsel for the defendant . . . the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.” § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), (iv).

Counsel for Thompson represents that additional time is necessary to adequately investigate this case or negotiate a resolution of this matter short of trial. In addition, counsel represents that she needs additional time to secure court records to adequately advise the Defendant of his options. The United States does not oppose a continuance. After careful consideration, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting a continuance of this trial outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Thus, for good cause, it is

ORDERED that the motion to continue (doc. 18) is GRANTED, and jury selection and trial are CONTINUED from November 2, 2020 to the criminal term of court commencing on February 22, 2021 at 10:00 a.m. in Opelika, Alabama. All deadlines tied to the trial date are adjusted accordingly.

The United States Magistrate Judge shall conduct a pretrial conference prior to the February trial term.

Done this 18th day of September, 2020.

/s/Emily C. Marks  
EMILY C. MARKS  
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE